

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/002,035	11/01/2001	Jeffrey W. Carr	CARR-01000US1	5043
23910	7590 01/13/2006		EXAMINER	
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP			OLSEN, ALLAN W	
	RCADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 400			ARTOINT	TAI ER NOMBER
SAN FRANCE	ISCO, CA 94111		1763	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/002,035	CARR, JEFFREY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allan Olsen	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Λ</u>	May 2005 .				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 3-15,18-21,32,37,39,41,42,44-60,62,64 and 66 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 41,42,46,50,54 and 58 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-15,18-21,32,37,39,44,45,47-49,51-53,55-57,59,60,62,64 and 66</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 May 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		\			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Allowable Subject Matter

Upon further consideration of the Zarowin et al. reference (Rapid, Non-Contact, Damage Free Shaping of Optical & Other Surfaces With Plasma Assisted Chemical Etching, 43<sup>rd</sup> Annual Symposium on Frequency Control 1989, pp.632-626) the indicated allowability of the claims is withdrawn. This reference was applied in the Office action mailed April 25, 2003. In response to applicant's arguments filed August 11, 2003 the rejection was dropped. However, the examiner now believes the rejection should have been maintained. Many of the claims now pending also read on the teachings of Zarowin. This action will be made non-final and the examiner apologizes for this unfortunate development.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 48, 49 and 51 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claims, or amend the claims to place the claims in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form. These claims recite:

"The method...operable on one of a conductive surface, a non-conductive surface and a semiconductor surface."

The options for surface conductivity that are made available by these claims would seem to include the entire spectrum of possibilities with regard to surface conductivity and therefore the claims do not appear to further limit the base claims. The examiner notes that withdrawn claim 50 is analogous to each of the objected to claims.

Art Unit: 1763

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 3, 5-12, 18, 20, 21, 32, 37, 39, 44, 45, 47-49, 51-53, 55, 60, 62, 64 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zarowin et al. in Rapid, Non-Contact, Damage Free Shaping of Optical & Other Surfaces with Plasma Assisted Chemical Etching, 43rd Annual Symposium on Frequency Control 1989, 623-626 (hereinafter, Zarowin).

As the title of the paper indicates, Zarowin teaches using a reactive atom plasma to provide a damage free and contamination free, shaped optical surface. Because the annulus center of Zarowin's annular plasma is continually supplied with a reactive species such as CF<sub>4</sub> and SF<sub>6</sub>, Zarowin is considered to teach "injecting a flow of a species into the annulus center of the annular plasma to create at least one reactive species". Zarowin teaches controlling the flow of plasma gases to adjust the balance between etching and deposition processes. See: figures 1, 2 and 9; also, the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> paragraphs on page 623 and the 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph on page 624.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 3-12, 19-21, 32, 37, 39, 44, 45, 47-49, 51, 60, 62, 64 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bollinger et al. in "Rapid, Non-Contact Optical Figuring of Aspheric Surfaces with Plasma Assisted Chemical Etching (PACE)" in SPIE Vol. 1333 page 44-57 (hereinafter, Bollinger) in view of Zarowin.

Art Unit: 1763

As the title of the paper indicates, Bollinger teaches using a reactive atom plasma to shape aspherical optical surfaces. Bollinger teaches supplying the plasma reactive species such as CF<sub>4</sub> and SF<sub>6</sub>. Bollinger teaches removing damage introduced by previous process steps. See: pages 44, 4546, 47, 51, 52 and 57.

Bollinger does not teaches an annular plasma.

Zarowin teaches an annular plasma.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide or "inject" the reactive species to the center of an annular plasma because it is readily apparent that the system and process of Bollinger is essentially the same as that of Zarowin and Bollinger's complete silence with regard to the plasma footprint suggests that any of the possible footprints taught by figure 2 of Zarowin are applicable to the process of Bollinger.

Claims 13-15, 56, 57 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bollinger in view of US Patent 5,961,772 issued to Selwyn, and further in view of US Patent 6,068,784 issued to Collins et al. (hereinafter, Collins).

The above noted teachings of Bollinger are herein relied upon.

Bollinger does not teach using Ar. Bollinger does not teach operating near atmospheric pressure.

Selwyn teaches that the provision of a high flow rate of argon enables one to carry out a process similar to that of Bollinger's at atmospheric pressure rather than at the low pressure taught by Bollinger. For example, Selwyn teaches etching SiO<sub>2</sub> with a

**Art Unit: 1763** 

room temperature, atmospheric pressure plasma wherein the plasma gases comprise CF<sub>4</sub> and Ar.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to add argon to Bollinger's CF<sub>4</sub> or SF<sub>6</sub> plasma torch etching of SiO<sub>2</sub> because Selwyn teaches that this enables the use of atmospheric pressure which greatly simplifies the operational demands of the process and Selwyn demonstrates that etch rates for process carried out at atmospheric pressure are greater than those carried out under a typical low pressure condition.

Bollinger and Selwyn do not teach using C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> to etch SiO<sub>2</sub>.

Collins teaches using C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> to etch SiO<sub>2</sub>.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use  $C_2F_6$  in place of  $CF_4$  to etch  $SiO_2$  because Collins teaches that  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  are functionally equivalent as a fluorocarbon etchant of  $SiO_2$ .

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to Zarowin that accompanied the response mailed August 11, 2003 have been reconsidered and are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, that the claims have been amended to recite "injecting a flow of reactive species into the annulus of an annular plasma" and that Zarowin does not disclose such a limitation. Applicant states that Zarowin cannot anticipate the claims because Zarowin "does not disclose a flow of reactive species that is separate from the plasma, the injecting of a gas into the plasma, or the injecting of a gas into the annulus of a plasma".

**Art Unit: 1763** 

Claim 3, for example, now recites "injecting a flow of a species into the annulus center of the annular plasma". After applying the broadest reasonable interpretation to this claim language (e.g., "injecting a flow" = "providing"), the examiner is not of the opinion that this claim "requires a flow of reactive species that is separate from the plasma". As such, applicant's arguments rely upon a feature that is not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. The mere fact that the annulus center of Zarowin's annular plasma comprises a reactive gas, is sufficient to satisfy the claimed limitation of "injecting a flow of a species into the annulus center of the annular plasma".

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 1-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993)

Application/Control Number: 10/002,035 Page 7

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alla Olo-

Allan Olsen
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763